### FILTERING COMPLEX TURBULENT SYSTEMS

by

Andrew J. Majda

Department of Mathematics and Center for Atmosphere and Ocean Sciences, Courant Institute for Mathematical Sciences, New York University

and

### John Harlim

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University

April 2011

# Contents

1	Introduction and Overview: Mathematical Strategies for Filtering Turbu-				
	lent Systems				
	1.1	Turbulent Dynamical Systems and Basic Filtering	14		
		1.1.1 Basic Filtering	16		
	1.2	Mathematical Guidelines for Filtering Turbulent Dynamical Systems $\ . \ . \ .$	19		
	1.3	Filtering Turbulent Dynamical Systems	22		
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$	ART	I: FUNDAMENTALS	25		
<b>2</b>	Filt	ering a Stochastic Complex Scalar: The Prototype Test Problem	27		
	2.1	Kalman Filter: one-dimensional complex variable	28		
		2.1.1 Numerical simulation on a scalar complex Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process	30		
	2.2	Filtering Stability	32		
	2.3	Model Error	36		
		2.3.1 Mean model error	36		
		2.3.2 Model Error Covariance	37		
		2.3.3 Example: Model Error through finite difference approximation $\ldots$	38		
		2.3.4 Information criteria for filtering with model error	39		
3	The	e Kalman Filter for Vector Systems: Reduced Filters and a Three-	12		
	0 1	The electical N dimensional Kalman filter	40 49		
	ა.1 ი ი		45		
	3.2		45		

	3.3	Example: A Three-dimensional Toy Model with a Single Observation $\ . \ . \ .$	46		
		3.3.1 Observability and Controllability Criteria	47		
		3.3.2 Numerical Simulations	47		
	3.4	Reduced Filters for Large Systems	57		
	3.5	A Priori Covariance Stability for the Unstable Mode Filter Given Strong Observability	59		
4	Cor	ntinuous and Discrete Fourier Series and Numerical Discretization	63		
	4.1	Continuous and Discrete Fourier Series	63		
	4.2	Aliasing	66		
	4.3	Differential and Difference Operators	68		
	4.4	Solving Initial Value Problems	70		
	4.5	Convergence of the Difference Operator	71		
Ρ.	ART SIG	II: MATHEMATICAL GUIDELINES FOR FILTERING TURBULENT NALS	Г 75		
<b>5</b>	Sto	chastic Models for Turbulence	77		
	5.1	The Stochastic Test Model for Turbulent Signals	78		
		5.1.1 The Stochastically Forced Dissipative Advection Equation $\ldots \ldots$	78		
		5.1.2 Calibrating the Noise Level for a Turbulent Signal	80		
	5.2	Turbulent Signals for the Damped Forced Advection Diffusion Equation	81		
	5.3	Statistics of Turbulent Solutions in Physical Space	82		
	5.4	Turbulent Rossby Waves	84		
6	Filtering Turbulent Signals: Plentiful Observations				
	6.1	A Mathematical Theory for Fourier Filter Reduction	92		
		6.1.1 The Number of Observation Points Equals the Number of Discrete Mesh Points: Mathematical Theory	96		

<sup>6.3</sup> Discrete Filtering for the Stochastically Forced Dissipative Advection Equation 102

		6.3.1	Off-line Test Criteria	103
		6.3.2	Numerical Simulations of Filter Performance	104
7	$\mathbf{Filt}$	ering 7	<b>Furbulent Signals: Regularly Spaced Sparse Observations</b>	115
	7.1	Theory	y for Filtering Sparse Regularly Spaced Observations	116
		7.1.1	Mathematical Theory for Sparse Irregularly Spaced Observations	119
	7.2	Fourie	r domain Filtering for Sparse Regular Observations	120
	7.3	Appro	ximate Filters in the Fourier Domain	124
		7.3.1	The Strongly Damped Approximate Filters (SDAF, VSDAF)	125
		7.3.2	The Reduced Fourier Domain Kalman Filter	128
		7.3.3	Comparison of Approximate Filter Algorithms	128
	7.4	New P	Phenomena and Filter Performance for Sparse Regular Observations	129
		7.4.1	Filtering the Stochastically Forced Advection-Diffusion Equation	129
		7.4.2	Filtering the Stochastically Forced Weakly Damped Advection Equation: Observability and Model Errors	132
8	$\mathbf{Filt}$	ering I	Linear Stochastic PDE Models with Instability and Model Error	r139
	8.1	Two-st	tate continuous-time Markov process	140
	8.2	Idealiz	ed spatially extended turbulent systems with instability	142
	8.3	The M	Iean Stochastic Model for Filtering	148
	8.4	Numer	rical Performance of the Filters with and without Model Error	151
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$	ART TEI	III: F MS	ILTERING TURBULENT NONLINEAR DYNAMICAL SYS	5- 159
9	Stra	ategies	for Filtering Nonlinear Systems	161
	9.1	The E	xtended Kalman Filter	162
	9.2	The E	nsemble Kalman Filter	164
	9.3	The E	nsemble Square-Root Filters	167
		9.3.1	The Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter	167
		9.3.2	The Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter	169

	9.4	Ensemble Filters on the Lorenz-63 Model	171
	9.5	Ensemble Square Root Filters on Stochastically Forced Linear Systems	177
	9.6	Advantages and Disadvantages with Finite Ensemble Strategies $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$	180
10	Filte	ering a Prototype Nonlinear Slow-Fast systems	183
	10.1	The Nonlinear Test Model for Filtering Slow-Fast Systems with Strong Fast Forcing: An Overview	183
		10.1.1 NEKF and Linear Filtering Algorithms with Model Error for the Slow- Fast Test Model	186
	10.2	Exact solutions and exactly solvable statistics in the nonlinear test model	190
		10.2.1 Path-wise solution of the model	190
		10.2.2 Invariant measure and choice of parameters	192
		10.2.3 Exact statistical solutions: Mean and Covariance	195
	10.3	Nonlinear Extended Kalman Filter (NEKF)	204
	10.4	Experimental Designs	206
		10.4.1 Linear filter with model error	206
	10.5	Filter performance	209
	10.6	Summary	226
11	Filte ods	ering Turbulent Nonlinear Dynamical Systems by Finite Ensemble Met	h- 227
	11.1	The L-96 Model	227
	11.2	Ensemble Square-Root Filters on L-96 Model	230
	11.3	Catastrophic Filter Divergence	233
	11.4	The Two-Layer Quasi-Geostrophic Model	238
	11.5	Local Least Square EAKF on the QG Model	245
12	Filte Moc	ering Turbulent Nonlinear Dynamical Systems by Linear Stochastic lels	c 249
	12.1	Linear Stochastic Models for the L-96 Model	250
		12.1.1 Mean Stochastic Model 1 (MSM1)	252

		12.1.2	Mean Stochastic model 2 (MSM2)	254
		12.1.3	Observation Time Model Error	255
	12.2	Filter	Performance with Plentiful Observation	255
	12.3	Filter	Performance with Regularly Spaced Sparse Observations	265
		12.3.1	Weakly Chaotic Regime	265
		12.3.2	Strongly Chaotic Regime	266
		12.3.3	Fully Turbulent Regime	268
		12.3.4	Super-Long Observation Times	271
13	Stoc Sign	chastic al wit	Parameterized Extended Kalman Filter for Filtering Turbulen h Model Error	nt 273
	13.1	Nonlin totype	ear Filtering with Additive and Multiplicative Biases: One-Mode Pro- Test Model	275
		13.1.1	Exact statistics for nonlinear combined model	276
		13.1.2	The Stochastic Parameterized Extended Kalman Filter (SPEKF) $$	279
		13.1.3	Filtering one-mode of a turbulent signal with instability with SPEKF	281
	13.2	Filteri	ng Spatially Extended Turbulent Systems with SPEKF	290
		13.2.1	Correctly Specified Forcing	293
		13.2.2	Unspecified Forcing	295
		13.2.3	Robustness and sensitivity to stochastic parameters and observation error variances	297
	13.3	Applic	ation of SPEKF on the two-layer QG Model	301
14	Filte able	ering [ Test ]	Furbulent Tracers from Partial Observations: An Exactly Solv Model	7- 315
	14.1	Model	Description	317
	14.2	System	n statistics	318
		14.2.1	Tracer statistics for a general linear Gaussian velocity field	318
		14.2.2	A particular choice of the Gaussian velocity field and its statistics	319
		14.2.3	Closed equation for the eddy diffusivity	324
		14.2.4	Properties of the model	325

	14.3	Nonlinear Extended Kalman Filter	332
		14.3.1 Classical Kalman Filter	332
		14.3.2 Nonlinear Extended Kalman Filter	335
		14.3.3 Observations	335
	14.4	Filter performance	337
		14.4.1 Filtering individual trajectories in physical space	337
		14.4.2 Recovery of turbulent spectra	338
		14.4.3 Recovery of the fat tail tracer probability distributions	346
		14.4.4 Improvement of the filtering skill by adding just one observation	348
15	The	Search for Efficient Skillful Particle Filters for High Dimensional Tur-	_
10	bule	ent Dynamical Systems	357
	15.1	The Basic Idea of Particle Filter	358
	15.2	Innovative Particle Filter Algorithms	360
		15.2.1 Rank Histogram Particle Filter (RHF)	360
		15.2.2 Maximum Entropy Particle Filter (MEPF)	361
		15.2.3 Dynamic Range Issues for Implementing MEPF	364
		15.2.4 Dynamic Range A	365
		15.2.5 Dynamic Range B	367
		15.2.6 Dynamic Range C	367
	15.3	Filter Performance on L-63 Model	367
		15.3.1 Regime where EAKF is superior	371
		15.3.2 Regime where non-Gaussian filters (RHF and MEPF) are superior	373
		15.3.3 Nonlinear observations	373
	15.4	Filter Performance on L-96 Model	381
	15.5	Discussion	384

### Preface

This book is an outgrowth of lectures by both authors in the graduate course of the first author at the Courant Institute during Spring 2008, 2010 on the topic of filtering turbulent dynamical systems as well as lectures by the second author at the North Carolina State University in a graduate course in Fall 2009. The material is based on the author's joint research as well as collaborations with Marcus Grote and Boris Gershgorin; the authors thank these colleagues for their explicit and implicit contributions to this material. Chapter 1 presents a detailed overview and summary for the viewpoint and material in the book. This book is designed for applied mathematicians, scientists, and engineers ranging from first and second year graduate students to senior researchers interested in filtering large dimensional complex nonlinear systems. The first author acknowledges the generous support of DARPA through Ben Mann and ONR through Reza Malek-Madani which funded the research on these topics and helped make this book a reality.

A.J. Majda and J. Harlim.

### Chapter 1

# Introduction and Overview: Mathematical Strategies for Filtering Turbulent Systems

Filtering is the process of obtaining the best statistical estimate of a natural system from partial observations of the true signal from nature. In many contemporary applications in science and engineering, real time filtering of a turbulent signal from nature involving many degrees of freedom is needed to make accurate predictions of the future state. This is obviously a problem with significant practical impact. Important contemporary examples involve the real time filtering and prediction of weather and climate as well as the spread of hazardous plumes or pollutants. Thus, an important emerging scientific issue is the real time filtering through observations of noisy signals for turbulent nonlinear dynamical systems as well as the statistical accuracy of spatio-temporal discretizations for filtering such systems. From the practical standpoint, the demand for operationally practical filtering methods escalates as the model resolution is significantly increased. In the coupled atmosphere-ocean system, the current practical models for prediction of both weather and climate involve general circulation models where the physical equations for these extremely complex flows are discretized in space and time and the effects of unresolved processes are parametrized according to various recipes; the result of this process involves a model for the prediction of weather and climate from partial observations of an extremely unstable, chaotic dynamical system with several billion degrees of freedom. These problems typically have many spatio-temporal scales, rough turbulent energy spectra in the solutions near the mesh scale, and a very large dimensional state space yet real time predictions are needed.

Particle filtering of low-dimensional dynamical systems is an established discipline [14]. When the system is low dimensional or when it has a low dimensional attractor, Monte-Carlo approaches such as the particle filter [25] with its various up-to-date resampling strategies [35, 36, 119] provide better estimates in the presence of strong nonlinearity and highly non-Gaussian distributions. However, with the above practical computational constraint in

mind, these accurate nonlinear particle filtering strategies are not feasible since sampling a high dimensional variable is computationally impossible for the foreseeable future. Recent mathematical theory strongly supports this curse of dimensionality for particle filters [15, 18]. Nevertheless some progress in developing particle filtering with small ensemble size for non-Gaussian turbulent dynamical systems is discussed in Chapter 15. These approaches, including the new maximum entropy particle filter (MEPF) due to the authors, all utilize judicious use of partial marginal distribution to avoid particle collapse. In the second direction, Bayesian hierarchical modeling [17] and reduced order filtering strategies [109, 56, 128, 8, 9, 25, 42, 43, 114, 70, 63] based on the Kalman filter [7, 27, 74] have been developed with some success in these extremely complex high dimensional nonlinear systems. There is an inherently difficult practical issue of small ensemble size in filtering statistical solutions of these complex problems due to the large computational overload in generating individual ensemble members through the forward dynamical operator [68]. Numerous ensemble based Kalman filters [41, 19, 8, 126, 70] show promising results in addressing this issue for synoptic scale midlatitude weather dynamics by imposing suitable spatial localization on the covariance updates, however, all these methods are very sensitive to model resolution, observation frequency, and the nature of the turbulent signals when a practical limited ensemble size (typically less than 100) is used. They are also less skillful for more complex phenomena like gravity waves coupled with condensational heating from clouds which are important for the tropics and severe local weather.

Here is a list of fundamental new difficulties in the real-time filtering of turbulent signals that need to be addressed as mentioned briefly above.

- **1.a)** Turbulent Dynamical Systems to Generate the True Signal. The true signal from nature arises from a turbulent nonlinear dynamical system with extremely complex noisy spatio-temporal signals which have significant amplitude over many spatial scales.
- **1.b)** Model Errors. A major difficulty in accurate filtering of noisy turbulent signals with many degrees of freedom is model error; the fact that the true signal from nature is processed for filtering and prediction through an imperfect model where by practical necessity, important physical processes are parameterized due to inadequate numerical resolution or incomplete physical understanding. The model errors of inadequate resolution often lead to rough turbulent energy spectra for the truth signal to be filtered on the order of the mesh scale for the dynamical system model used for filtering.
- 1.c) Curse of Ensemble Size. For forward models for filtering, the state space dimension is typically large, of order  $10^4$  to  $10^8$ , for these turbulent dynamical systems, so generating an ensemble size with such direct approach of order 50 to 100 members is typically all that is available for real-time filtering.
- 1.d) Sparse, Noisy, Spatio-Temporal Observations for only a Partial Subset of the Variables. In systems with multiple spatio-temporal scales, the sparse observations of the truth signal might automatically couple many spatial scales, as shown below

in Chapter 7 [65], while the observation of a partial subset of variables might mix together temporal slow and fast components of the system [53, 54] as discussed in Chapter 10. For example observations of pressure or temperature in the atmosphere mix slow vortical and fast gravity waves processes.

This book is an introduction to filtering with an emphasis on the central new issues in 1.a), b), c), d) for filtering turbulent dynamical systems through the "modus operandi" of the modern applied mathematics paradigm [93] where rigorous mathematical theory, asymptotic and qualitative models, and novel numerical algorithms are all blended together interactively to give insight into central "cutting edge" practical science problems. In the last several years, the authors have utilized the synergy of modern applied mathematics to address the following

- **2.a)** How to develop simple off-line mathematical test criteria as guidelines for filtering extremely stiff multiple space-time scale problems that often arise in filtering turbulent signals through plentiful and sparse observations? [100, 24, 57, 65]
- 2.b) For turbulent signals from nature with many scales, even with mesh refinement the model has inaccuracies from parametrization, under-resolution, etc. Can judicious model error help filtering and simultaneously overcome the curse of dimension? [24, 65, 64, 66]
- **2.c)** Can new computational strategies based on stochastic parameterization algorithms be developed to overcome the curse of dimension, to reduce model error and improve the filtering as well as the prediction skill? [52, 51, 67]
- 2.d) Can exactly solvable models be developed to elucidate the central issues in 1.d) for turbulent signals, to develop unambiguous insight into model errors, and to lead to efficient new computational algorithms? [53, 54]

The main goals of this book are the following: first, to introduce the reader to filtering from this viewpoint in an elementary fashion where no prior background on these topics is assumed (Chapters 2, 3, 4 below); secondly, to describe in detail, the recent and ongoing developments emphasizing the remarkable new mathematical and physical phenomena that emerge from the modern applied mathematics modus operandi applied to filtering turbulent dynamical systems. Next, in this introductory chapter, we provide an overview of turbulent dynamical systems and basic filtering followed by an overview of the basic applied mathematics motivation which leads to the new developments and viewpoint emphasized in this book.

#### 1.1 Turbulent Dynamical Systems and Basic Filtering

The large dimensional turbulent dynamical systems which define the true signal from nature to be filtered in the class of problems studied here have fundamentally different statistical character than in more familiar low dimensional chaotic dynamical systems. The most well known low dimensional chaotic dynamical system is Lorenz's famous three equation model [89] which is weakly mixing with one unstable direction on an attractor with high symmetry. In contrast, realistic turbulent dynamical systems have a large phase space dimension, a large dimensional unstable manifold on the attractor, and are strongly mixing with exponential decay of correlations. The simplest prototype example of a turbulent dynamical system is also due to Lorenz and is called the L-96 model [91, 92]. It is widely used as a test model for algorithms for prediction, filtering, and low frequency climate response [96, 108]. The L-96 model is a discrete periodic model given by the following system

$$\frac{du_j}{dt} = (u_{j+1} - u_{j-2})u_{j-1} - u_j + F, \quad j = 0, \dots, J - 1,$$
(1.1)

with J = 40 and with F the forcing parameter. The model is designed to mimic baroclinic turbulence in the midlatitude atmosphere with the effects of energy conserving nonlinear advection and dissipation represented by the first two terms in (1.1). For sufficiently strong forcing values such as F = 6, 8, 16, the L-96 is a prototype turbulent dynamical system which exhibits features of weakly chaotic turbulence (F = 6), strong chaotic turbulence (F = 8), and strong turbulence (F = 16) [96]. In order to quantify and compare the different types of turbulent chaotic dynamics in the L-96 model as F is varied, it is convenient to rescale the system to have unit energy for statistical fluctuations around the constant mean statistical state,  $\bar{u}$  [96]; thus, the transformation  $u_j = \bar{u} + E_p^{1/2} \tilde{u}_j, t = \tilde{t} E_p^{-1/2}$  is utilized where  $E_p$  is the energy fluctuations [96]. After this normalization, the mean state becomes zero and the energy fluctuations are unity for all values of F. The dynamical equation in terms of the new variables,  $\tilde{u}_j$ , becomes

$$\frac{d\tilde{u}_j}{d\tilde{t}} = (\tilde{u}_{j+1} - \tilde{u}_{j-2})\tilde{u}_{j-1} + E_p^{-1/2}((\tilde{u}_{j+1} - \tilde{u}_{j-2})\bar{u} - \tilde{u}_j) + E_p^{-1}(F - \bar{u}).$$
(1.2)

Table 1.1 lists in the non-dimensional coordinates, the leading Lyapunov exponent,  $\lambda_1$ , the dimension of the unstable manifold,  $N^+$ , the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents (the KS entropy), and the correlation time,  $T_{corr}$ , of any  $\tilde{u}_j$  variable with itself as F is varied through F = 6, 8, 16. Note that  $\lambda_1, N^+$ , and KS increase significantly as F increases while  $T_{corr}$  decreases in these non-dimensional units; furthermore, the weakly turbulent case with F = 6 already has twelve dimensional unstable manifold in the forty dimensional phase space. Snapshots of the time series for (1.1) with F = 6, 8, 16, as depicted in Fig. 1.1, qualitatively confirm the above quantitative intuition with weakly turbulent patterns for F = 6, strongly chaotic wave turbulence for F = 8, and fully developed wave turbulence for F = 16. It is worth remarking here that smaller values of F around F = 4 exhibit the more familiar low-dimensional weakly chaotic behavior associated with the transition to turbulence.



Figure 1.1: Space-time of numerical solutions of L-96 model for weakly chaotic (F = 6), strongly chaotic (F = 8), and fully turbulent (F = 16) regime.

Table 1.1: Dynamical properties of L-96 model for regimes with F = 6, 8, 16.  $\lambda_1$  denotes the largest Lyapunov exponent,  $N^+$  denotes the dimension of the expanding subspace of the attractor, KS denotes the Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy, and  $T_{corr}$  denotes the decorrelation time of energy-rescaled time correlation function.

	F	$\lambda_1$	$N^+$	KS	$T_{corr}$
Weakly chaotic	6	1.02	12	5.547	8.23
Strongly chaotic	8	1.74	13	10.94	6.704
Fully turbulent	16	3.945	16	27.94	5.594

In regimes to realistically mimic properties of nature, virtually all atmosphere, ocean, and climate models with sufficiently high resolution are turbulent dynamical systems with features as described above. The simplest paradigm model of this type is the two-layer quasigeostrophic (QG) model in doubly periodic geometry that is externally forced by a mean vertical shear [121], which has baroclinic instability [120]; the properties of the turbulent cascade have been extensively discussed in this setting, e.g., see [120] and citations in [121]. The governing equations for the two-layer QG model with a flat bottom, rigid lid and equal depth layers H can be written as

$$\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial t} + J(\psi_1, q_1) + U \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x} + (\beta + k_d^2 U) \frac{\partial \psi_1}{\partial x} + \nu \nabla^8 q_1 = 0, \qquad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial q_2}{\partial t} + J(\psi_2, q_2) - U \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial x} + (\beta - k_d^2 U) \frac{\partial \psi_2}{\partial x} + \kappa \nabla^2 \psi_2 + \nu \nabla^8 q_2 = 0, \qquad (1.4)$$

where subscript 1 denotes the top layer and 2 the bottom layer;  $\psi$  is the perturbed stream function;  $J(\psi, q) = \psi_x q_y - \psi_y q_x$  is the jacobian term representing nonlinear advection; Uis the zonal mean shear;  $\beta$  is the meridional gradient of the Coriolis parameter; q is the perturbed quasigeostropic potential vorticity, defined as follows

$$q_i = \beta y + \nabla^2 \psi_i + \frac{k_d^2}{2} (\psi_{3-i} - \psi_i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$
(1.5)

where  $k_d = \sqrt{8}/L_d$  is the wavenumber corresponding to the Rossby radius  $L_d$ ;  $\kappa$  is the Ekman bottom drag coefficient;  $\nu$  is the hyperviscosity coefficient. Note that Eqns. (1.3)-(1.4) are the prognostic equations for perturbations around a uniform shear with stream function  $\Psi_1 = -Uy, \Psi_2 = Uy$  as the background state and the hyperviscosity term,  $\nu \nabla^8 q$ , is added to filter out the energy buildup on the smaller scales.

This is the simplest climate model for the poleward transport of heat in the atmosphere or ocean and with a modest resolution of  $128 \times 128 \times 2$  grid points has a phase space of more than 30,000 variables. Again for modeling the atmosphere and ocean, this model in the appropriate parameter regimes is a strongly turbulent dynamical system with strong cascades of energy [120, 121, 84]; it has been utilized recently as a test model for algorithms for filtering sparsely observed turbulent signals in the atmosphere and ocean [67].

#### **1.1.1 Basic Filtering**

We assume that observations are made at uniform discrete times,  $m\Delta t$ , with  $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  For example in global weather prediction models, the observations are given as inputs in the model every six hours and for large dimensional turbulent dynamical systems, it is a challenge to implement continuous observations, practically. As depicted in Fig. 1.2, filtering is a two-step process involving statistical prediction of a probability distribution for the state variable u through a forward operator on the time interval between observations followed by an analysis step at the next observation time which corrects this probability

distribution on the basis of the statistical input of noisy observations of the system. In the present applications, the forward operator is a large dimensional dynamical system perhaps with noise written in the Ito sense as

$$\frac{du}{dt} = F(u,t) + \sigma(u,t)\dot{W}(t)$$
(1.6)

for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $\sigma$  is an  $N \times K$  noise matrix and  $\dot{W} \in \mathbb{R}^K$  is K-dimensional white noise. The Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density, p(u, t), associated with (1.6) is

$$p_t = -\nabla_u \cdot (F(u,t)p) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_u \cdot \nabla_u(Qp) \equiv L_{FP}p \qquad (1.7)$$
$$p_t|_{t=t_0} = p_0(u)$$

with  $Q(t) = \sigma \sigma^T$ . For simplicity in exposition, here and throughout the remainder of the book we assume M linear observations,  $\vec{v}_m \in \mathbb{R}^M$  of the true signal from nature given by

$$\vec{v}_m = Gu(m\Delta t) + \vec{\sigma}_m^o, \ m = 1, 2, \dots$$
(1.8)

where G maps  $\mathbb{R}^N$  into  $\mathbb{R}^M$  while the observational noise.  $\vec{\sigma}_m^o \in \mathbb{R}^M$ , is assumed to be a zero mean Gaussian random variable with  $M \times M$  covariance matrix,

$$R^{o} = \langle \vec{\sigma}_{m}^{o} \otimes (\vec{\sigma}_{m}^{o})^{T} \rangle.$$
(1.9)

Gaussian random variables are uniquely determined by their mean and covariance; here and below, we utilize the standard notation  $\mathcal{N}(\vec{X}, R)$  to denote a vector Gaussian random variable with mean  $\vec{X}$  and covariance matrix R. With these preliminaries, we describe the two-step filtering algorithm with the dynamics in (1.6), (1.7) and the noisy observations in (1.8), (1.9). Start at time step  $m\Delta t$  with a posterior probability distribution,  $p_{m,+}(u)$ , which takes into account the observations in (1.8) at time  $m\Delta t$ . Calculate a prediction or forecast probability distribution,  $p_{m+1,-}(u)$ , by using (1.7), in other words, let p be the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation,

$$p_t = L_{FP}p, \quad m\Delta t < t \le (m+1)\Delta t$$

$$p|_{t=m\Delta t} = p_{m,+}(u).$$

$$(1.10)$$

Define  $p_{m+1,-}(u)$ , the prior probability distribution before taking observations at time m+1 into accounts, by

$$p_{m+1,-}(u) \equiv p(u, (m+1)\Delta t)$$
 (1.11)

with p determined by the forward dynamics in (1.10). Next, the analysis step at time  $(m+1)\Delta t$  which corrects this forecast and takes the observations into account is implemented by using Bayes theorem

$$p_{m+1,+}(u)p(v_{m+1}) = p_{m+1}(u|v_{m+1})p(v_{m+1}) = p_{m+1}(u,v) = p_{m+1}(v_{m+1}|u)p_{m+1,-}(u).$$
(1.12)



Figure 1.2: Filtering: Two-steps predictor corrector method.

With Bayes formula in (1.12), we calculate the posterior distribution

$$p_{m+1,+}(u) \equiv p_{m+1}(u|v_{m+1}) = \frac{p_{m+1}(v_{m+1}|u)p_{m+1,-}(u)}{\int p_{m+1}(v_{m+1}|u)p_{m+1,-}(u)du}.$$
(1.13)

The two steps described in (1.10), (1.11), (1.13), define the basic nonlinear filtering algorithm which forms the theoretical basis for practical designing algorithms for filtering turbulent dynamical systems [72, 14]. While this is conceptually clear, practical implementation of (1.10), (1.11), (1.13), directly in turbulent dynamical systems is impossible due to large state space,  $N \gg 1$ , as well as the fundamental difficulties elucidated in **1.a**), **b**), **c**), **d**) from the introduction.

The most important and famous example of filtering is the Kalman filter where the analysis step in (1.6) is associated with linear dynamics which can be integrated between observation time steps  $m\Delta t$  and  $(m + 1)\Delta t$  to yield the forward operator

$$u_{m+1} = F u_m + \bar{f}_{m+1} + \sigma_{m+1}. \tag{1.14}$$

Here F is the  $N \times N$  system operator matrix and  $\sigma_m$  is the system noise assumed to be zero mean and Gaussian with  $N \times N$  covariance matrix

$$R = \langle \sigma_m \otimes \sigma_m^T \rangle, \,\forall m, \tag{1.15}$$

while  $\bar{f}_m$  is a deterministic forcing. Next, we present the simplified Kalman filter equations for the linear case. First assume the initial probability density  $p_0(u)$  is Gaussian, i.e.,  $p_0(u) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{u}_0, R_o)$  and assume by recursion that the posterior probability distribution,  $p_{m,+}(u) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{u}_{m,+}, R_{m,+})$ , is also Gaussian. By using the linear dynamics in (1.14), the forecast or prediction distribution at time  $(m+1)\Delta t$  is also Gaussian,

$$p_{m+1,-}(u) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{u}_{m+1,-}, R_{m+1,-})$$
  

$$\bar{u}_{m+1,-} = F\bar{u}_{m,+} + \bar{f}_{m+1}$$
  

$$R_{m+1,-} = FR_{m,+}F^T + R.$$
(1.16)

With the assumptions in (1.8), (1.9) and (1.14),(1.16), the analysis step in (1.13) becomes an explicit regression procedure for Gaussian random variables [27, 7] so that the posterior distribution,  $p_{m+1,+}(u)$ , is also Gaussian yielding the <u>Kalman Filter</u>,

$$p_{m+1,+}(u) = \mathcal{N}(\bar{u}_{m+1,+}, R_{m+1,+})$$
  

$$\bar{u}_{m+1,+} = (\mathcal{I} - K_{m+1}G)\bar{u}_{m+1,-} + K_{m+1}v_{m+1}$$
  

$$R_{m+1,+} = (\mathcal{I} - K_{m+1}G)R_{m+1,-}$$
  

$$K_{m+1} = R_{m+1,-}G^{T}(GR_{m+1,-}G^{T} + R^{o})^{-1}.$$
  
(1.17)

The  $N \times M$  matrix,  $K_{m+1}$ , is the Kalman gain matrix. Note that the posterior mean after processing the observations is a weighted sum of the forecast and analysis contributions through the Kalman gain matrix and also that the observations reduce the covariance,  $R_{m+1,+} \leq R_{m+1,-}$ . In this Gaussian case with linear observations, the analysis step going from (1.16) to (1.17) is a standard linear least squares regression. An excellent treatment of this can be found in Chapter 3 of [74]. There is a huge literature on Kalman filtering; two excellent basic texts are [27, 7] where more details and references can be found. Our intention in the introductory parts in this book in Chapters 2, 3 is not to repeat the well-known material in (1.16), (1.17) in detail; instead we introduce this elementary material in a fashion to set the stage for the mathematical guidelines developed in Part II (Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8) and the applications to filtering turbulent nonlinear dynamical systems presented in Part III (Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15).

Naively, the reader might expect that everything is known about filtering linear systems; however, when the linear system is high dimensional, i.e.,  $N \gg 1$ , the same issues elucidated in **1.a**), **b**), **c**), **d**) occur for linear systems in a more transparent fashion. This is the viewpoint emphasized and developed in Part II of the book (Chapters 5, 6, 7, 8) which is motivated next. For linear systems without model errors, the recursive Kalman filter is an optimal estimator but the recursive nonlinear filter in (1.8)-(1.13) may not be an optimal estimator for the nonlinear stochastic dynamical system without model error in (1.6).

### 1.2 Mathematical Guidelines for Filtering Turbulent Dynamical Systems

How can useful mathematical guidelines be developed in order to elucidate and ameliorate the central new issues in  $\mathbf{1}$  from the introduction for turbulent dynamical systems? This is

the topic of this section. Of course to be useful, such mathematical guidelines have to be general yet still involve simplified models with analytical tractability. Such criteria have been developed recently [100, 24, 65] through the modern applied mathematics paradigm and the goal here is to outline this development and discuss some of the remarkable phenomena which occur. The starting point for this developments for filtering turbulent dynamical systems involves the symbiotic interaction of three different disciplines in applied mathematics/physics as depicted in Fig. 1.3: stochastic modeling of turbulent signals, numerical analysis of PDE's, and classical filtering theory outlined in (1.14)-(1.17) of Section 2. Here is the motivation from the three legs of the triangle.



Figure 1.3: Modern Applied Math Paradigm for filtering.

First, the simplest stochastic models for modeling turbulent fluctuations consist of replacing the nonlinear interaction at these modes by additional dissipation and white noise forcing to mimic rapid energy transfer [120, 104, 106, 103, 37, 97]. Conceptually, we view this stochastic model for a given turbulent (Fourier) mode as given by the linear Langevin SDE or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck for the complex scalar

$$du(t) = \lambda u(t)dt + \sigma dW(t), \qquad (1.18)$$
$$\lambda = -\gamma + i\omega, \gamma > 0,$$

with W(t), complex Wiener process, and  $\sigma$  its noise strength. Of course, the amplitude and strength of these coefficients,  $\gamma, \sigma$  vary widely for different Fourier modes and depend empirically on the nonlinear nature of the turbulent cascade, the energy spectrum, etc. These simplest turbulence models are developed in detail in Chapter 5 and an important extension with intermittent instability at large scales is developed in Chapter 8. Quantitative illustration of this modelling process for the L-96 model in (1.1) in a variety of regimes and the two-layer model in (1.3)-(1.4) are developed in Part III in Chapters 12 and 13, together with cheap stochastic filters with judicious model errors based on these linear stochastic models.

Secondly, the most successful mathematical guideline for numerical methods for deterministic nonlinear systems of PDE's is von Neumann stability analysis [118]: The nonlinear problem is linearized at a constant background state, Fourier analysis is utilized for this constant coefficient PDE, and resulting in discrete approximations for a complex scalar test model for each Fourier mode,

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = \lambda u(t), \lambda = -\gamma + i\omega, \gamma > 0.$$
(1.19)

All the classical mathematical phenomena such as for example, the CFL stability condition on the time step  $\Delta t$  and spatial mesh h,  $|c|\Delta t/h < 1$  for various explicit schemes for the advection equation  $u_t + cu_x = -du$ , occur because, at high spatial wave numbers, the scalar test problem in (1.19) is a stiff ODE, i.e.,

$$|\lambda| \gg 1. \tag{1.20}$$

For completeness, Chapter 4 provides a brief introduction to this analysis.

The third leg of the triangle involves classical linear Kalman filtering as outlined in (1.14)-(1.17). In conventional mathematical theory for filtering linear systems, one checks algebraic observability and controllability conditions [27, 7] and is automatically guaranteed asymptotic stability for the filter; this theory applies for a fixed state dimension and is a very useful mathematical guideline for linear systems that are not stiff in low dimensional state space. Grote and Majda [57] developed striking examples involving unstable differencing of the stochastic heat equation where the state space dimension, N = 42 with ten unstable modes where the classical observability [28] and controllability conditions were satisfied yet the filter covariance matrix had condition number  $10^{13}$  so there is no practical filtering skill! This suggested that there were new phenomena in filtering turbulent signals from linear stochastic systems which are suitably stiff with multiple spatio-temporal scales.

Chapter 2 both provides an elementary self-contained introduction to filtering the complex scalar test problem in (1.18) and in Section 2.3 describes the new phenomena that can occur in stiff regimes with model error as a prototype for the developments in Part II. In Chapters 6 and 7, the analogue of von Neumann stability analysis for filtering turbulent dynamical systems is developed. The new phenomena that occur and the robust mathematical guidelines that emerge are studied for plentiful observations, where the number of observations equals the number of mesh points in Chapter 6, and for the practically important and suitable case of sparse regular observations in Chapter 7.

Clearly, successful guidelines for filtering turbulent dynamical systems need to depend on many interacting features for these turbulent signals with complex multiple spatio-temporal structure:

- **3.a)** The specific underlying dynamics.
- **3.b)** The energy spectrum at spatial mesh scales of the observed system and the system noise, i.e. decorrelation time, on these scales.
- **3.c)** The number of observations and the strength of the observational noise.
- **3.d)** The time scale between observations relative to **3.a**), **b**).

Example of what are two typical practical computational issues for the filtering in the above context to avoid the "curse of ensemble size" from (1.1) are the following:

- **4.a)** When is it possible to use for filtering the standard explicit scheme solver of the original dynamic equations by violating the CFL stability condition with a large time step equal (proportional) to the observation time to increase ensemble size yet retain statistical accuracy?
- **4.b)** When is it possible to use for filtering a standard implicit scheme solver for the original dynamic equations by using a large time step equal to the observation time to increase ensemble size yet retain statistical accuracy?

Clearly resolving the practical issues in 4 involves the understanding of 3 in a given context and this is emphasized in Part II of the book. In particular, the role of model error in filtering stochastic systems with intermittent large scale instability is emphasized in Chapter 8 and Chapter 13.

#### **1.3** Filtering Turbulent Dynamical Systems

Part III of the book (Chapters 9, 10, 11, 12, 13) is devoted to contemporary strategies for filtering turbulent dynamical systems as described earlier in Section 1.1 and coping with the difficult issues in 1 mentioned earlier. In Chapter 9, contemporary strategies for filtering nonlinear dynamical systems with a perfect model are surveyed and their relative skill and merits are discussed for the three mode, Lorenz 63 model. The application of the finite ensemble filters from Chapter 9 to high dimensional turbulent dynamical system such as the L-96 model and the two-layer QG model are described in Chapter 11.

Given all the complexity in filtering turbulent signals described in  $\mathbf{1}$ , an important topic is to develop nonlinear models with exactly solvable nonlinear statistics which provide unambiguous benchmarks for these various issues in  $\mathbf{1}$  for more general turbulent dynamical systems. Of course, it is a challenge for applied mathematicians to develop such types of test models which are simple enough for tractable mathematical analysis yet capture key features of complex physical processes which they try to mimic. Once such exactly solvable test models have been developed, all of the issues regarding model error in **1.b**) as well as new nonlinear algorithms for filtering can be studied in an unambiguous fashion. Many important problems in science and engineering have turbulent signals with multiple time scales, i.e., slow-fast systems. The development of such a test model for prototype slow-fast systems is the topic in Chapter 10.

With the mathematical guidelines for filtering turbulent dynamical systems based on linear stochastic models with multiple spatio-temporal scales as discussed in Part II, we discuss the real-time filtering of nonlinear turbulent dynamical systems by such an approach in Chapter 12. The mathematical guidelines and phenomena in Part II suggest the possibility that there might be cheap skillful alternative filter algorithms which can cope with the issues in 1.c),d) where suitable linear stochastic models with judicious model error are utilized to filter true signals from nonlinear turbulent dynamical systems like the two models discussed in Section 1.1 above. We discuss this radical approach to filtering turbulent signals in Chapter 12. In Chapter 13, we show how exactly solvable test models can be utilized to develop new algorithms for filtering turbulent signals which correct the model errors for the linear stochastic models developed in Chapter 12 by updating the damping and forcing "on the fly" through a stochastic parameterized extended Kalman filter (SPEKF) algorithm [52, 51, 67]. Chapter 14 is devoted to the development and filtering of exactly solvable test models for turbulent diffusion. In particular, we emphasize the recovery of detailed turbulent statistics including energy spectrum and non-Gaussian probability distributions from sparse space time observations through generalization of the specific nonlinear extended Kalman filter (NEKF) which we introduced earlier in Chapter 10. Finally, in Chapter 15, we describe the search for efficient skillful particle filters for high dimensional turbulent dynamical systems; this requires successful particle filtering with small ensemble sizes in non-Gaussian statistical settings. In this context, trying to filter the L-63 model with temporally sparse partial observations with small noise with only 3 to 10 particles is a challenging toy problem. We introduce a new maximum entropy particle filter (MEPF) with exceptional skill on this toy model and discuss the strengths and limitations of current particles filters with small ensemble size for the L-96 model.

## Bibliography

- R. Abramov. A practical computational framework for the multidimensional momentconstrained maximum entropy principle. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 211(1):198 – 209, 2006.
- [2] R. Abramov. The multidimensional maximum entropy moment problem: A review on numerical methods. *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 8(2):377–392, 2010.
- [3] R. Abramov and A. Majda. Quantifying uncertainty for non-gaussian ensembles in complex systems. *SIAM Journal of Scientific Computing*, 25(2):411–447, 2004.
- [4] R. Abramov and A. Majda. Blended response algorithm for linear fluctuationdissipation for complex nonlinear dynamical systems. *Nonlinearity*, 20:2793–2821, 2007.
- [5] R. V. Abramov. An improved algorithm for the multidimensional moment-constrained maximum entropy problem. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 226(1):621 644, 2007.
- [6] R. V. Abramov. The multidimensional moment-constrained maximum entropy problem: A bfgs algorithm with constraint scaling. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 228(1):96 – 108, 2009.
- [7] B. Anderson and J. Moore. *Optimal filtering*. Prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1979.
- [8] J. Anderson. An ensemble adjustment Kalman filter for data assimilation. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129:2884–2903, 2001.
- [9] J. Anderson. A local least squares framework for ensemble filtering. Monthly Weather Review, 131(4):634-642, 2003.
- [10] J. Anderson. An adaptive covariance inflation error correction algorithm for ensemble filters. *Tellus A*, 59:210–224, 2007.
- [11] J. Anderson and S. Anderson. A Monte Carlo implementation of the nonlinear filtering problem to produce ensemble assimilations and forecasts. *Monthly Weather Review*, 127:2741–2758, 1999.
- [12] J. L. Anderson. A non-gaussian ensemble filter update for data assimilation. Monthly Weather Review, 138(11):4186–4198, 2010.

- [13] S.-J. Baek, B. Hunt, E. Kalnay, E. Ott, and I. Szunyogh. Local ensemble Kalman filtering in the presence of model bias. *Tellus A*, 58(3):293–306, 2006.
- [14] A. Bain and D. Crisan. Fundamentals of Stochastic Filtering. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [15] T. Bengtsson, P. Bickel, and B. Li. Curse of dimensionality revisited: Collapse of the particle filter in very large scale systems. In D. Nolan and T. Speed, editors, *IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series in Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of David A. Freedman*, volume 2, pages 316–334. Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2008.
- [16] A. Bensoussan. Stochastic Control of Partially Observable Systems. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [17] L. Berliner, R. Milliff, and C. Wikle. Bayesian hierarchical modeling of air-sea interaction. Journal of Geophysical Research, 108:3104–3120, 2003.
- [18] P. Bickel, B. Li, and T. Bengtsson. Sharp failure rates for the bootstrap filter in high dimensions. In *IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series: Essays in Honor of J.K. Gosh*, volume 3, pages 318–329. Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2008.
- [19] C. Bishop, B. Etherton, and S. Majumdar. Adaptive sampling with the ensemble transform Kalman filter part I: the theoretical aspects. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129:420–436, 2001.
- [20] A. Bourlioux and A. J. Majda. Elementary models with probability distribution function intermittency for passive scalars with a mean gradient. *Physics of Fluids*, 14(2):881–897, 2002.
- [21] M. Branicki, B. Gershgorin, and A. Majda. Filtering skill for turbulent signals for a suite of nonlinear and linear extended Kalman filters. *submitted to J. Comput. Phys.*, 2011.
- [22] G. Burgers, P. van Leeuwen, and G. Evensen. On the analysis scheme in the ensemble Kalman filter. *Monthly Weather Review*, 126:1719–1724, 1998.
- [23] M. Cane, A. Kaplan, R. Miller, B. Tang, E. Hackert, and A. Busalacchi. Mapping tropical pacific sea level: Data assimilation via a reduced state space kalman filter. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 101:22599–22617, 1996.
- [24] E. Castronovo, J. Harlim, and A. Majda. Mathematical criteria for filtering complex systems: plentiful observations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(7):3678–3714, 2008.
- [25] A. Chorin and P. Krause. Dimensional reduction for a bayesian filter. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(42):15013–15017, 2004.

- [26] A. Chorin and X. Tu. Implicit sampling for particle filters. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106:17249–17254, 2009.
- [27] C. Chui and G. Chen. Kalman filtering. Springer New York, 1999.
- [28] S. Cohn and D. Dee. Observability of discretized partial differential equations. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 25(3):586–617, 1988.
- [29] S. Cohn and S. Parrish. The behavior of forecast error covariances for a Kalman filter in two dimensions. *Monthly Weather Review*, 119(1757–1785), 1991.
- [30] P. Constantin, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko, and R. Temam. Integral Manifolds and Inertial Manifolds for Dissipative Partial Differential Equations, volume 70 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 1988.
- [31] P. Courtier, E. Andersson, W. Heckley, J. Pailleux, D. Vasiljevic, M. Hamrud, A. Hollingsworth, F. Rabier, and M. Fisher. The ecmwf implementation of threedimensional variational assimilation (3d-var). i: Formulation. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 124:1783–1807, 1998.
- [32] Daley. Atmospheric data analysis. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1991.
- [33] D. Dee and A. D. Silva. Data assimilation in the presence of forecast bias. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 124:269–295, 1998.
- [34] D. Dee and R. Todling. Data assimilation in the presence of forecast bias: the GEOS moisture analysis. *Monthly Weather Review*, 128(9):3268–3282, 2000.
- [35] P. Del Moral. Nonlinear filtering: Interacting particle solutions. Markov processes and related fields, 2(44):555–580, 1996.
- [36] P. Del Moral and J. Jacod. Interacting particle filtering with discrete observation. In A. Doucet, N. de Freitas, and N. Gordon, editors, *Sequential Monte-Carlo methods in practice*, volume Statistics for engineering and information science, pages 43–75. Springer, 2001.
- [37] T. Delsole. Stochastic model of quasigeostrophic turbulence. Surveys in Geophysics, 25(2):107–149, 2004.
- [38] A. Doucet, N. De Freitas, and H. Gordon. Sequential Monte Carlo Methods in Practice. Springer, 2008.
- [39] P. Embid and A. Majda. Low Froude number limiting dynamics for stably stratified flow with small or fixed Rossby Number. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*, 87:1–50, 1998.

- [40] G. Evensen. Sequential data assimilation with a nonlinear quasi-geostrophic model using Monte Carlo methods to forecast error statistics. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99:10143–10162, 1994.
- [41] G. Evensen. The ensemble Kalman filter: theoretical formulation and practical implementation. Ocean Dynamics, 53:343–367, 2003.
- [42] B. Farrell and P. Ioannou. State estimation using a reduced-order kalman filter. *Journal* of the Atmospheric Sciences, 58(23):3666–3680, 2001.
- [43] B. Farrell and P. Ioannou. Distributed forcing of forecast and assimilation error systems. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62(2):460–475, 2005.
- [44] G. Folland. Real Analysis. Wiley-Interscience, 1999.
- [45] C. Franzke and A. Majda. Low-order stochastic mode reduction for a prototype atmospheric GCM. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63:457–479, 2006.
- [46] B. Friedland. Treatment of bias in recursive filtering. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., AC-14:359–367, 1969.
- [47] B. Friedland. Estimating sudden changes of biases in linear dynamical systems. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., AC-27:237-240, 1982.
- [48] L. Gandin. Objective analysis of meteorological fields. Gidrometerologicheskoe Izdatelstvo (english translation by Israeli Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1963), 1965.
- [49] C. Gardiner. Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry, and the natural sciences. Springer-Verlag New York, 1997.
- [50] G. Gaspari and S. Cohn. Construction of correlation functions in two and three dimensions. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 125:723–758, 1999.
- [51] B. Gershgorin, J. Harlim, and A. Majda. Improving filtering and prediction of sparsely observed spatially extended turbulent systems with model errors through stochastic parameter estimation. J. Comput. Phys., 229(1):32–57, 2010.
- [52] B. Gershgorin, J. Harlim, and A. Majda. Test models for improving filtering with model errors through stochastic parameter estimation. J. Comput. Phys., 229(1):1–31, 2010.
- [53] B. Gershgorin and A. Majda. A nonlinear test model for filtering slow-fast systems. Comm. Math. Sci., 6(3):611–649, 2008.
- [54] B. Gershgorin and A. Majda. Filtering a nonlinear slow-fast system with strong fast forcing. *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 8(1):67–92, 2010.

- [55] B. Gershgorin and A. Majda. Filtering a statistically exactly solvable test model for turbulent tracers from partial observations. J. Comput. Phys., 230(4):1602–1638, 2011.
- [56] M. Ghil and P. Malanotte-Rizolli. Data assimilation in meteorology and oceanography. Advances in Geophysics, 33:141–266, 1991.
- [57] M. Grote and A. Majda. Stable time filtering of strongly unstable spatially extended systems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103:7548–7553, 2006.
- [58] H. Haken. Analogy between higher instabilities in fluids and lasers. Physics Letters A, 53(1):77–78, 1975.
- [59] T. Hamill, J. Whitaker, and C. Snyder. Distance-dependent filtering of background error covariance estimates in an ensemble Kalman Filter. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129:2776–2790, 2001.
- [60] J. Harlim. Errors in the initial conditions for numerical weather prediction: A study of error growth patterns and error reduction with ensemble filtering. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 2006.
- [61] J. Harlim. Interpolating irregularly spaced observations for filtering turbulent complex systems. *submitted to SIAM J. Sci. Comp.*, 2011.
- [62] J. Harlim and B. Hunt. A non-Gaussian ensemble filter for assimilating infrequent noisy observations. *Tellus A*, 59(2):225–237, 2007.
- [63] J. Harlim and B. Hunt. Four-dimensional local ensemble transform Kalman filter: numerical experiments with a global circulation model. *Tellus A*, 59(5):731–748, 2007.
- [64] J. Harlim and A. Majda. Filtering nonlinear dynamical systems with linear stochastic models. *Nonlinearity*, 21(6):1281–1306, 2008.
- [65] J. Harlim and A. Majda. Mathematical strategies for filtering complex systems: Regularly spaced sparse observations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 227(10):5304–5341, 2008.
- [66] J. Harlim and A. Majda. Catastrophic filter divergence in filtering nonlinear dissipative systems. Comm. Math. Sci., 8(1):27–43, 2010.
- [67] J. Harlim and A. Majda. Filtering turbulent sparsely observed geophysical flows. Monthly Weather Review, 138(4):1050–1083, 2010.
- [68] K. Haven, A. Majda, and R. Abramov. Quantifying predictability through information theory: small sample estimation in a non-gaussian framework. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 206:334–362, 2005.
- [69] P. Houtekamer and H. Mitchell. A sequential ensemble Kalman filter for atmospheric data assimilation. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129:123–137, 2001.

- [70] B. Hunt, E. Kostelich, and I. Szunyogh. Efficient data assimilation for spatiotemporal chaos: a local ensemble transform Kalman filter. *Physica D*, 230:112–126, 2007.
- [71] E. Isaacson and H. Keller. Analysis of Numerical Methods. John Wiley & Sons, 1966.
- [72] A. Jazwinski. Stochastic processes and filtering theory. Academic, San Diego, California, 1970.
- [73] M. Junk. Maximum entropy moment problems and extended Euler equations. In Proceedings of IMA Workshop "Simulation of Transport in Transition Regimes", volume 135, pages 189–198. Springer, 2004.
- [74] J. Kaipio and E. Somersalo. Statistical and computational inverse problems. Springer New York, 2005.
- [75] G. Kallianpur. Stochastic Filtering Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [76] R. Kalman and R. Bucy. A new results in linear prediction and filtering theory. Trans. AMSE J. Basic Eng., 83D:95–108, 1961.
- [77] E. Kalnay. Atmospheric modeling, data assimilation, and predictability. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [78] E. Kalnay, H. Li, T. Miyoshi, S.-C. Yang, and J. Ballabrera-Poy. 4D-Var or ensemble Kalman filter? *Tellus A*, 59A:758–773, 2007.
- [79] E. Kang and J.Harlim. Filtering Nonlinear Spatio-Temporal Chaos with Autoregressive Linear Stochastic Model. *submitted to Physica D*, 2011.
- [80] S. Keating, A. Majda, and K. Smith. New methods for estimating poleward eddy heat transport using satellite altimetry. *submitted to Monthly Weather Review*, 2011.
- [81] C. Keppenne. Data assimilation into a primitive-equation model with a parallel ensemble Kalman filter. *Monthly Weather Review*, 128:1971–1981, 2000.
- [82] B. Khouider and A. Majda. A simple multicloud parametrization for convectively coupled tropical waves. Part I: Linear Analysis. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 63:1308–1323, 2006.
- [83] B. Khouider and A. Majda. A simple multicloud parametrization for convectively coupled tropical waves. Part II: Nonlinear Simulations. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 64:381–400, 2007.
- [84] R. Kleeman and A. Majda. Predictability in a model of geophysical turbulence. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62:2864–2879, 2005.
- [85] G. Lawler. Introduction to Stochastic Processes. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 1995.

- [86] E. Lee and L. Markus. Foundations of Optimal Control Theory. Wiley, New York, 1967.
- [87] A. Lorenc. Analysis methods for numerical weather prediction. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 112:1177–1194, 1986.
- [88] A. Lorenc. The potential of the ensemble Kalman filter for NWP-a comparison with 4D-Var. Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society, 129:3183–3203, 2003.
- [89] E. Lorenz. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 20:130–141, 1963.
- [90] E. Lorenz. A study of the predictability of a 28-variable atmospheric model. *Tellus*, 17:321–333, 1965.
- [91] E. Lorenz. Predictability a problem partly solved. In Proceedings on predictability, held at ECMWF on 4-8 September 1995, pages 1–18, 1996.
- [92] E. Lorenz and K. Emanuel. Optimal sites for supplementary weather observations: Simulations with a small model. J. Atmos. Sci., 55:399–414, 1998.
- [93] A. Majda. Real World Turbulence and Modern Applied Mathematics. In Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives 2000, pages 137–151. International Mathematical Union, 2000.
- [94] A. Majda. Real world turbulence and modern applied mathematics. In V. Arnold, M. Atiyah, P. Lax, and B. Mazur, editors, *Mathematics: Frontiers and Perspectives*. American Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [95] A. Majda. Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean, volume 9 of Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [96] A. Majda, R. Abramov, and M. Grote. Information theory and stochastics for multiscale nonlinear systems. CRM Monograph Series v.25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, USA, 2005.
- [97] A. Majda, C. Franzke, and B. Khouider. An Applied Mathematics Perspective on Stochastic Modelling for Climate. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci.*, 366(1875):2429–2455, 2008.
- [98] A. Majda and B. Gershgorin. Elementary models for turbulent diffusion with complex physical features: eddy diffusivity, spectrum, and intermittency. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc., series B (in press)*, 2011.
- [99] A. Majda, B. Gershgorin, and Y. Yuan. Low Frequency Climate Response and Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorems: Theory and Practice. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences (in press)*, 2009.

- [100] A. Majda and M. Grote. Explicit off-line criteria for stable accurate time filtering of strongly unstable spatially extended systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104:1124–1129, 2007.
- [101] A. Majda and P. Kramer. Simplified models for turbulent diffusion: theory, numerical modeling, and physical phenomena. *Phys. Reports*, 214:238–574, 1999.
- [102] A. Majda and I. Timofeyev. Statistical mechanics for truncations of the Burgers-Hopf equation: A model for intrinsic behavior with scaling. *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, 70:39–96, 2002.
- [103] A. Majda and I. Timofeyev. Low dimensional chaotic dynamics versus intrinsic stochastic chaos: A paradigm model. *Physica D*, 199:339–368, 2004.
- [104] A. Majda, I. Timofeyev, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Models for stochastic climate prediction. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 96:15687–15691, 1999.
- [105] A. Majda, I. Timofeyev, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. A mathematical framework for stochastic climate models. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54:891–974, 2001.
- [106] A. Majda, I. Timofeyev, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Systematic strategies for stochastic mode reduction in climate. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 60:1705–1722, 2003.
- [107] A. Majda, I. Timofeyev, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Stochastic models for selected slow variables in large deterministic systems. *Nonlinearity*, 19:769–794, 2006.
- [108] A. Majda and X. Wang. Nonlinear dynamics and statistical theories for basic geophysical flows. Cambridge University Press, UK, 2006.
- [109] R. Miller, E. Carter, and S. Blue. Data assimilation into nonlinear stochastic models. *Tellus A*, 51(2):167–194, 1999.
- [110] H. Mitchell, P. Houtekamer, and G. Pellerin. Ensemble size and model-error representation in an ensemble Kalman filter. *Monthly Weather Review*, 130:2791–2808, 2002.
- [111] J. Neelin, B. R. Lintner, B. Tian, Q. Li, L. Zhang, P. K. Patra, M. T. Chahine, and S. N. Stechmann. Long tails in deep columns of natural and anthropogenic tropospheric tracers. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37:L05804, 2011.
- [112] T. O'Kane and J. Frederiksen. Comparison of statistical dynamical, square root and ensemble Kalman filters. *Entropy*, 10(4):684–721, 2008.
- [113] B. Oksendal. Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications. Universitext. Springer, 2003.
- [114] E. Ott, B. Hunt, I. Szunyogh, A. Zimin, E. Kostelich, M. Corrazza, E. Kalnay, and J. Yorke. A local ensemble Kalman filter for atmospheric data assimilation. *Tellus A*, 56:415–428, 2004.

- [115] D. Parrish and J. Derber. The National-Meteorological-Centers spectral statisticalinterpolation analysis system. *Monthly Weather Review*, 120(8):1747–1763, 1992.
- [116] J. Pedlosky. *Geophysical Fluid Dynamics*. Springer, New York, 1979.
- [117] E. Pitcher. Application of stochastic dynamic prediction to real data. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 34(1):3–21, 1977.
- [118] R. Richtmeyer and K. Morton. Difference Methods for Initial Value Problems. Wiley, 1967.
- [119] V. Rossi and J.-P. Vila. Nonlinear filtering in discrete time: A particle convolution approach. Ann. Inst. Stat. Univ. Paris, 3:71–102, 2006.
- [120] R. Salmon. Lectures on geophysical fluid dynamics, volume 378. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [121] S. Smith, G. Boccaletti, C. Henning, I. Marinov, C. Tam, I. Held, and G. Vallis. Turbulent diffusion in the geostropic inverse cascade. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 469:13–48, 2002.
- [122] C. Snyder, T. Bengtsson, P. Bickel, and J. Anderson. Obstacles to high-dimensional particle filtering. *Monthly Weather Review (submitted)*, 2008.
- [123] H. Solari, M. Natiello, and G. Mindlin. Nonlinear Dynamics. Institute of Physics, 1996.
- [124] C. Sparrow. The Lorenz Equations: Bifurcation, Chaos, and Strange Attractors. Springer, 1982.
- [125] J. Strikewerda. Finite Difference Schemes and Partial DIfferential Equations. SIAM (2nd Ed.), 2004.
- [126] I. Szunyogh, E. Kostelich, G. Gyarmati, D. Patil, B. Hunt, E. Kalnay, E. Ott, and J. Yorke. Assessing a local ensemble Kalman filter: perfect model experiments with the NCEP global model. *Tellus A*, 57:528–545, 2005.
- [127] S. Thomas, J. Hacker, and J. Anderson. A robust formulation of the ensemble Kalman filter. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, doi:10.10002/qj.000, 2007.
- [128] R. Todling and M. Ghil. Tracking atmospheric instabilities with the kalman filter. part i: Methodology and one-layer results. *Monthly Weather Review*, 122(1):183–204, 1994.
- [129] J. Tribbia and D. Baumhefner. The reliability of improvements in deterministic shortrange forecasts in the presence of initial state and modeling deficiencies. *Monthly Weather Review*, 116:2276–2288, 1988.
- [130] G. Vallis. Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics: Fundamentals and Large-Scale Circulation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2006.

- [131] P. van Leeuwen. Particle filtering in geosciences. Monthly Weather Review, 137:4089– 4114, 2009.
- [132] X. Wang, C. Bishop, and S. Julier. Which is better, an ensemble of positive-negative pairs or a centered spherical simplex ensemble? *Monthly Weather Review*, 132(7):1590– 1605, 2004.
- [133] J. Whitaker and T. Hamill. Ensemble data assimilation without perturbed observations. Monthly Weather Review, 130:1913–1924, 2002.
- [134] S.-C. Yang, D. Baker, K. Cordes, M. Huff, F. Nagpal, E. Okereke, J. Villafane, E. Kalnay, and G. Duane. Data assimilation as synchronization of truth and model: experiments with the three-variable lorenz system. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 63(9):2340–2354, 2006.
- [135] L.-S. Young. What are SRB measures, and which dynamical systems have them? *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 108(5):733–754, 2002.

## Index

3D-Var: Three-Dimensional Variational Approach, 162 additive model, 274 adjoint linear model, 161 advection-diffusion equation, 79 aliasing, 63 aliasing set, 116 analysis, 26 asymptotic filter covariance, 33 asymptotic Kalman gain, 33 background state, see prior state Bayesian, 15, 26, 27 CFL condition, 71 climatological mean state, 30 variance, 30 combined model, 273 complex conjugate, 77 complex Gaussian noise, 26, 29 Continuous time Markov process, 138 controllable, 30, 43, 45 correction, see analysis correlation time, see decorrelation time damping, 75, 77 determinant, 45 difference scheme, 36 symmetric, 70 algebraic condition, 69 backward, 71 backward Euler, 36 consistency, 69, 71 convergence, 69 forward Euler, 36

stability, 69 trapezoidal, 36 upwind, 71 differential and difference operators, 66 dispersion relation, 76 dissipative, *see* damping dynamic range, 359

EAKF: Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter, 167earth angular speed of rotation, 83 EKF: Extended Kalman Filter, 160 Ekman friction, 77 energy spectrum, 77 EnKF: Ensemble Kalman Filter, 162 EnSRF: Ensemble Square-Root Filter, 165 ETKF: Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter, 165expansion theory continuous, 62 discrete, 63 expectation, 26 exponential distribution, 140 extreme event, 79

FDKF: Fourier Domain Kalman Filter, 122
filter divergence, 51
filter stability, 30, 43
Fokker-Planck equation, 15, 357
forecast, 26
Froude number, 77
full rank matrix, 45
function

periodic, 61
trigonometric, 61

GLS-SRF: Generalized Least Square- Square nudging, 363 Root Filter, 165 gravity absorption, 77 gravity waves, 79 incompressible, 314 information criteria, 37 Initial Value Problem, 67 Ito isometry, 276 Jacobian matrix, 170 Kalman filter, 26 formula, 28 Kalman gain, 28, 42 Kolmogorov spectrum, 78 L-63: Lorenz 63 model, 169 Langevin equation, 18 Laplacian, 67 Lax Equivalence Theorem, 69 LEKF: Local Ensemble Kalman Filter, 165 linear stochastic model, 249 Liouville equation, 357 Lorenz 96 model, 12, 248 Lyapunov exponent, 12, 170 Markov property, 138 maximum entropy principle, 358 mean model error, 34 mean radius of the earth, 83 Mean Stochastic Model 1, 250 MEPF: Maximum Entropy Particle Filter, 356 relative entropy, 37 midlatitude  $\beta$ -plane approximation, 83 model error, 34 model error covariance, 35 model error variance, see system variance Monte-Carlo simulation, 9, 82 MSM: Mean Stochastic Model, 273 multiplicative model, 274 natural frequency, 83 Navier-Stokes, 169 NEKF: Nonlinear Extended Kalman Filter, 182, 314

observable, 30, 44, 45 observation error covariance, 26, 41 observation error distribution, 26 observation model, 26, 41 **OI:** Optimal Interpolation, 162 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, 18, 28, 44 Parseval's identity, 63 particle filter, 9, 355 passive tracer, 313 perfect model, 26 plentiful observation, 90, 92 posterior distribution, 41 posterior error covariance, 27, 28, 42 posterior mean state, 42 posterior state, 42 power law spectrum, 78 Prandl number, 169 prediction, see forecast prior distribution, 27, 41 prior error covariance, 27 prior state, 27, 42 quasi-geostrophic, 77 Quasi-Geostrophic model, 14 radiative damping, 77 Rayleigh number, 169 reduced filters, 55 regularly spaced sparse observation, 114 resonant periodic forcing, 79 RFDKF: Reduced Fourier Domain Kalman Filter, 126 RHF: Rank Histogram Filter, 356 RK4: Runge-Kutta 4, 169 root-mean-square (RMS), 30 Rossby number, 77 Rossby waves, 79, 82 SDAF: Strongly Damped Approximate Filter, 123sequential importance resampling, 357

Sequential Monte-Carlo, 355 Shannon entropy, 360 shear flow, 314 spatial correlation, 82 spatio-temporal correlation function, 80, 87 **SPEKF-A**, 280 **SPEKF-C**, 280 **SPEKF-M**, 280 SPEKF: Stochastic Parameterized Extended Kalman Filter, 21, 272, 277 stationary correlation in physical space, 82 statistical equilibrium distribution, 77 stochastic advection equation, 76 system variance, 26 tangent linear model, 161 temporal correlation function, 78, 82, 86 time-homogeneity, 138 trigonometric interpolation, 94 true filter, see perfect model true signal, 27, 42 turbulent signal, 75 uniform damping, 77, 82 Vandermonde matrix, 120 VSDAF: Variance Strong Damping Approximate Filter, 123 white noise, 29, 43white noise spectrum, 78 Wiener process, 29