Stochastic Processes

MATH5835, P. Del Moral

UNSW, School of Mathematics & Statistics

Lectures Notes No. 11

Consultations (RC 5112):

Wednesday 3.30 pm \rightsquigarrow 4.30 pm & Thursday 3.30 pm \rightsquigarrow 4.30 pm

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Reminder + Information

References in the slides

► Material for research projects ~→ Moodle

(Stochastic Processes and Applications \ni variety of applications)

I learned very early the difference between knowing the name of something and knowing something.

Richard P. Feynman

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

meetville.com

- Richard P. Feynman (1918-1988) \oplus video

Three objectives



Understanding & Solving

- Classical stochastic algorithms
- Some advanced Monte Carlo schemes
- Intro to computational physics/biology

Plan of the lecture

Stochastic algorithms

- Robbins Monro model
- Simulated annealing



3. 3

• • • • • • • • • •

Plan of the lecture

Stochastic algorithms

- Robbins Monro model
- Simulated annealing

Some advanced Monte Carlo models

- Interacting simulated annealing
- Rare event sampling
- Black box and inverse problems



(日)

Plan of the lecture

Stochastic algorithms

- Robbins Monro model
- Simulated annealing

Some advanced Monte Carlo models

- Interacting simulated annealing
- Rare event sampling
- Black box and inverse problems

Computational physics/biology

- Molecular dynamics
- Schödinger ground states
- Genetic type algorithms



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

Objectives



Given U : $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \ni a$ find $U_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : U(x) = a\}$



Objectives



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Given U : $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \ni a$ find $U_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : U(x) = a\}$

Examples

► Concentration of products (therapeutic,...): U(x) = E(U(x, Y)) U(x, Y) := U("drug" dose x, "data" patients Y) = dosage effects

Objectives



Given U : $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \ni a$ find $U_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : U(x) = a\}$

Examples

Concentration of products (therapeutic,...): U(x) = 𝔼(𝒰(x, Y)) U(x, Y) := 𝒰("drug" dose x, "data" patients Y) = dosage effects

► Median and quantiles estimation
$$U(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{find } x_a \quad \text{s.t. } \mathbb{P}(Y \le x_a) = a$$

Objectives



Given U : $\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \ni a$ find $U_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : U(x) = a\}$

Examples

- Concentration of products (therapeutic,...): U(x) = 𝔼(𝒰(x, Y)) U(x, Y) := 𝒰("drug" dose x, "data" patients Y) = dosage effects
- ► Median and quantiles estimation $U(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{find } x_a \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \mathbb{P}(Y \le x_a) = a$

► Optimization problems (V smooth & convex) $U(x) = \nabla V(x) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{find } x_0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \nabla V(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{C}$

Hypothesis



$U_a = \{x_a\} \qquad \& \qquad \langle (x - x_a), U(x) - U(x_a) \rangle > 0$

Hypothesis



500

$$U_a = \{x_a\} \qquad \& \qquad \langle (x - x_a), U(x) - U(x_a) \rangle > 0$$

 $\ \ d=1$

Same sign!

$$\begin{array}{lll} U(x) \geq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \geq x_a \\ U(x) \leq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \leq x_a \end{array}$$

Hypothesis



$$U_a = \{x_a\} \qquad \& \qquad \langle (x - x_a), U(x) - U(x_a) \rangle > 0$$

 $\ \ d=1$

Same sign!

$$U(x) \ge U(x_a) \Rightarrow x \ge x_a$$

 $U(x) \le U(x_a) \Rightarrow x \le x_a$

Algorithm?

Hypothesis



$$U_a = \{x_a\} \qquad \& \qquad \langle (x - x_a), U(x) - U(x_a) \rangle > 0$$

 $\ \ d=1$

Same sign!

$$\begin{array}{lll} U(x) \geq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \geq x_a \\ U(x) \leq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \leq x_a \end{array}$$

Algorithm?

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n \left(U(x_a) - U(x_n) \right)$$

▲ロト ▲母 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ▲目 ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Hypothesis



$$U_a = \{x_a\} \qquad \& \qquad \langle (x - x_a), U(x) - U(x_a) \rangle > 0$$

 $\ \ d=1$

Same sign!

$$\begin{array}{lll} U(x) \geq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \geq x_a \\ U(x) \leq U(x_a) & \Rightarrow & x \leq x_a \end{array}$$

Algorithm?

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n \left(U(x_a) - U(x_n) \right)$$

with some technical conditions

$$\sum_n \gamma_n = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_n \gamma_n^2 < \infty$$



When $U(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y))$ is **un**known

Examples

Quantiles

$$U(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y)) \quad \mathcal{U}(x, Y) := \mathbb{1}_{]-\infty,x]}(Y)$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

When $U(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y))$ is **un**known

Examples

Quantiles

$$U(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y)) \quad \mathcal{U}(x, Y) := \mathbb{1}_{]-\infty,x]}(Y)$$

Dosage effects Y = absorption curves of drugs w.r.t. time

$$U(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y))$$



When $U(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y))$ is **un**known

Examples

Quantiles

$$U(x) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y)) \quad \mathcal{U}(x, Y) := \mathbb{1}_{]-\infty,x]}(Y)$$

Dosage effects Y = absorption curves of drugs w.r.t. time

$$U(x) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{U}(x, Y))$$

Noisy measurements

$$x \longrightarrow \text{sensor/black box} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(x, Y) := U(x) + Y$$





* Data interpreted as redundant representation of information

Ideal deterministic algorithm

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへぐ

Unknown ~>> Sampling



* Data interpreted as redundant representation of information

("unique") information"

Ideal deterministic algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n \left(U(x_a) - U(x_n) \right) = x_n + \gamma_n \left(a - U(x_n) \right)$$

Unknown ~>> Sampling



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

* Data interpreted as redundant representation of information

("unique") information"

Ideal deterministic algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n \left(U(x_a) - U(x_n) \right) = x_n + \gamma_n \left(a - U(x_n) \right)$$

with some technical conditions

$$\sum_n \gamma_n = \infty$$
 and $\sum_n \gamma_n^2 < \infty$

Unknown ~>> Sampling



* Data interpreted as redundant representation of information

Ideal deterministic algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n \left(U(x_a) - U(x_n) \right) = x_n + \gamma_n \left(a - U(x_n) \right)$$

with some technical conditions

$$\sum_n \gamma_n = \infty$$
 and $\sum_n \gamma_n^2 < \infty$

Robbins Monro algorithm

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \gamma_n (a - \mathcal{U}(x_n, Y_n))$$

▲ロト ▲舂 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

Stochastic gradient



Robbins Monro algorithm

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \gamma_n (a - \mathcal{U}(x_n, Y_n))$$

Stochastic gradient



Robbins Monro algorithm

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \gamma_n (a - \mathcal{U}(x_n, Y_n))$$

$\Downarrow a = 0 \quad \& \quad \mathcal{U}(x, Y_n) = \nabla \mathcal{V}_x(x, Y_n) \quad (\rightsquigarrow U(x) = \nabla_x \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{V}_x(x, Y)))$

Stochastic gradient



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆豆 → ◆豆 → □ 豆

Robbins Monro algorithm

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \gamma_n (a - \mathcal{U}(x_n, Y_n))$$

$$\Downarrow a = 0 \quad \& \quad \mathcal{U}(x, Y_n) = \nabla \mathcal{V}_x(x, Y_n) \quad (\rightsquigarrow U(x) = \nabla_x \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{V}_x(x, Y)))$$

Stochastic gradient

$$X_{n+1} = X_n - \underbrace{\gamma_n}_{\text{learning rate}} \nabla \mathcal{V}_x(X_n, Y_n)$$

Example (linear regression)

N data set $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^d \rightsquigarrow \text{observation } y^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$

Best $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$? such that



$$y^i \simeq h_x(z^i) + N(0,1)$$
 with $h_x(z) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} x_i z_i$

Example (linear regression)

N data set $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^d \; \rightsquigarrow$ observation $y^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$

Best $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$? such that



$$y^i \simeq h_x(z^i) + N(0,1)$$
 with $h_x(z) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} x_i z_i$

Averaging criteria

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{V}(x, (y^{I}, z^{I}))\right)^{I} \stackrel{unif \in \{1, \dots, N\}}{=} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \left(h_{x}(z^{i}) - y^{i}\right)^{2}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}(x,(y^i,z^i)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(h_x(z^i) - y^i \right)^2 \Rightarrow \nabla_x \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(h_x(z^i) - y^i \right) & z_1^i \\ \dots \\ \left(h_x(z^i) - y^i \right) & z_d^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Example (linear regression)

N data set $z^i \in \mathbb{R}^d \iff$ observation $y^i \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$

Best $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$? such that



$$y^i \simeq h_x(z^i) + N(0,1)$$
 with $h_x(z) = \sum_{1 \le i \le d} x_i z_i$

Averaging criteria

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left(\mathcal{V}(x, (y^{I}, z^{I}))\right)^{I} \stackrel{unif \in \{1, \dots, N\}}{=} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{1 \le i \le N} \left(h_{x}(z^{i}) - y^{i}\right)^{2}$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}(x,(y^{i},z^{i})) = \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{x}(z^{i}) - y^{i} \right)^{2} \Rightarrow \nabla_{x}\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(h_{x}(z^{i}) - y^{i} \right) & z_{1}^{i} \\ \cdots \\ \left(h_{x}(z^{i}) - y^{i} \right) & z_{d}^{i} \end{pmatrix}$$

Stochastic gradient process

$$X_{n+1} = X_n - \gamma_n \nabla_X \mathcal{V}(X_n, (Y^{I_n}, Z^{I_n}))$$



given V : $S \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ find $V^* = \{x \in S : V(x) = \inf_{y} V(y)\}$



given V : $S \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ find $V^* = \{x \in S : V(x) = \inf_y V(y)\}$

 \uparrow

Probabilist viewpoint: \Leftrightarrow Sampling the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution

$$\mu_{\beta}(dx) := rac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-eta V(x)} \lambda(dx)$$

・ロト ・ 理 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

 $\exists \rightarrow$

for some reference measure λ .



given V : $S \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ find $V^* = \{x \in S : V(x) = \inf_y V(y)\}$

1

Probabilist viewpoint: \Leftrightarrow Sampling the Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution

$$\mu_{\beta}(dx) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-\beta V(x)} \lambda(dx)$$

for some reference measure λ . A couple of examples

∃ ⊳

Optimization vs. Sampling



Finite state spaces $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \ni x_i$

$$\mu_{\beta}(x_i) := \frac{e^{-\beta V(x_i)} \lambda(x_i)}{\sum_{y \in S} e^{-\beta V(y)} \lambda(y)} = \frac{e^{-\beta V(x_i)}}{\sum_{1 \le j \le k} e^{-\beta V(x_j)}}$$

Optimization vs. Sampling



★ロト ★課 ト ★注 ト ★注 ト 一注

Finite state spaces $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \ni x_i$

$$\mu_{\beta}(x_i) := \frac{e^{-\beta V(x_i)} \lambda(x_i)}{\sum_{y \in S} e^{-\beta V(y)} \lambda(y)} = \frac{e^{-\beta V(x_i)}}{\sum_{1 \le j \le k} e^{-\beta V(x_j)}}$$

Proposition

$$\mu_{\beta}(x_i) \longrightarrow_{\beta\uparrow\infty} \mu_{\infty}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Card}(V^{\star})} \mathbf{1}_{V^{\star}}(x_i)$$



Metropolis-Hastings transition



Reversible proposition w.r.t. λ (local moves/neighbors)

 $\lambda(x)P(x,y) = \lambda(y)P(y,x)$



Metropolis-Hastings transition



< // → < ⇒ →

Reversible proposition w.r.t. λ (local moves/neighbors)

$$\lambda(x)P(x,y) = \lambda(y)P(y,x)$$

Acceptance/rejection transition

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta}(x,y) &= P(x,y) \min\left(1,\frac{\mu_{\beta}(y)P(y,x)}{\mu_{\beta}(x)P(x,y)}\right) + \dots \delta_{x}(dy) \\ &= P(x,y) \ e^{-\beta(V(y)-V(x))_{+}} + \dots \delta_{x}(dy) \end{aligned}$$

 \Downarrow
Metropolis-Hastings transition



Reversible proposition w.r.t. λ (local moves/neighbors)

$$\lambda(x)P(x,y) = \lambda(y)P(y,x)$$

Acceptance/rejection transition

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta}(x,y) &= P(x,y) \min\left(1,\frac{\mu_{\beta}(y)P(y,x)}{\mu_{\beta}(x)P(x,y)}\right) + \dots \delta_{x}(dy) \\ &= P(x,y) \ e^{-\beta(V(y)-V(x))_{+}} + \dots \delta_{x}(dy) \end{aligned}$$

∜

Balance/Reversibility equation

$$\mu_{\beta}(y)M_{\beta}(y,x) = \mu_{\beta}(x)M_{\beta}(x,y)$$

Simulated Annealing







Simulated Annealing



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 少へ⊙



You Tube illustrations

- SA and Travelling Salesman problem
- Automatic Label placement
- Ising model with SA
- Artist view of the SA & the Ising model

$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Bayes' type multiplication rule}$

$$\mu_{eta_1}(dx) \propto e^{-(eta_1 - eta_0)V(x)} imes \mu_{eta_0}(dx)$$

$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{eta_1}(dx) \propto e^{-(eta_1 - eta_0)V(x)} imes \mu_{eta_0}(dx)$$

1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{eta_1}(dx) \propto e^{-(eta_1 - eta_0)V(x)} imes \mu_{eta_0}(dx)$$

1

(日) (型) (目) (日) (1000)

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



$$\downarrow$$

(*)
$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V(X_{n_0}^i)}}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V(X_{n_0}^j)}} \delta_{X_{n_0}^i} \sim \mu_{\beta_1}$$

$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{eta_1}(dx) \propto e^{-(eta_1-eta_0)V(x)} imes \mu_{eta_0}(dx)$$

1

▲ロト ▲□ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨー のへの

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



(*)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le N} \frac{e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V(X_{n_0}^i)}}{\sum_{1 \le j \le N} e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V(X_{n_0}^j)}} \delta_{X_{n_0}^i} \sim \mu_{\beta_1}$$

$$e^{-\beta_1 V} = e^{-(\beta_1 - \beta_0)V} \times e^{-\beta_0 V}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{eta_1}(dx) \propto e^{-(eta_1-eta_0)V(x)} imes \mu_{eta_0}(dx)$$

1

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



Rare event sampling



$$\mu_A(dx) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_A} \ 1_A(x) \ \lambda(dx)$$



Rare event sampling



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 正 ・ ・

 90

$$\mu_A(dx) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_A} \ 1_A(x) \ \lambda(dx)$$

Black box model

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \ni X \\ \sim \lambda \end{array} \to \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Black-Box} = \text{Input/Output} \end{array} \to \begin{array}{ccc} Y = F(X) \\ \in \text{critical set B} \end{array}$$

Rare event sampling



$$\mu_A(dx) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_A} \ 1_A(x) \ \lambda(dx)$$

Black box model

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \ni X \\ \sim \lambda \end{array} \rightarrow & \boxed{\text{Black-Box} = \text{Input/Output}} \end{array} \rightarrow & \begin{array}{c} Y = F(X) \\ \in \text{critical set B} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ \mu_A = \text{Law}(X \mid Y \in B) = \text{Law}(X \mid X \in A) \end{array}$$

 Subset shakers



Reversible proposition w.r.t. λ (local moves/neighbors)

 $\lambda(x)P(x,dy) = \lambda(y)P(y,dx)$

Subset shakers



$$\lambda(x)P(x,dy) = \lambda(y)P(y,dx)$$

Example:

$$\lambda = N(0,1)$$
 and $Y = \sqrt{\epsilon} x + \sqrt{1-\epsilon} N(0,1) \sim P(x,dy)$

★ロト ★課 ト ★注 ト ★注 ト 一注

590

Subset shakers

Reversible proposition w.r.t. λ (local moves/neighbors)

$$\lambda(x)P(x,dy) = \lambda(y)P(y,dx)$$

Example:

$$\lambda = N(0,1)$$
 and $Y = \sqrt{\epsilon} x + \sqrt{1-\epsilon} N(0,1) \sim P(x,dy)$

Acceptance/rejection transition = A-Shaker

$$1_{\mathcal{A}_1}=1_{\mathcal{A}_1\cap\mathcal{A}_0}=1_{\mathcal{A}_1} imes 1_{\mathcal{A}_0}$$

$$1_{A_1} = 1_{A_1 \cap A_0} = 1_{A_1} \times 1_{A_0}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \textbf{Bayes' type multiplication rule}$

 $\mu_{A_1}(dx) \propto 1_{A_1}(x) imes \mu_{A_0}(dx)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$1_{A_1} = 1_{A_1 \cap A_0} = 1_{A_1} \times 1_{A_0}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1}(dx) \propto \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x) imes \mu_{\mathcal{A}_0}(dx)$$

↓

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing

$$1_{A_1} = 1_{A_1 \cap A_0} = 1_{A_1} \times 1_{A_0}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{A_1}(dx) \propto 1_{A_1}(x) imes \mu_{A_0}(dx)$$

∜

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing

(*)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le N} \frac{1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^i)}{\sum_{1 \le j \le N} 1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^j)} \delta_{X_{n_0}^i} \sim \mu_{A_1}$$

$$1_{A_1} = 1_{A_1 \cap A_0} = 1_{A_1} \times 1_{A_0}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{A_1}(dx) \propto 1_{A_1}(x) imes \mu_{A_0}(dx)$$

1

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへの

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



(*)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le N} \frac{1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^i)}{\sum_{1 \le j \le N} 1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^j)} \delta_{X_{n_0}^i} \sim \mu_{A_1}$$

$$1_{A_1} = 1_{A_1 \cap A_0} = 1_{A_1} \times 1_{A_0}$$

⇔ Bayes' type multiplication rule

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\mathit{dx}) \propto 1_{\mathcal{A}_1}(x) imes \mu_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\mathit{dx})$$

1

 $\forall i = 1, \dots, N \rightsquigarrow$ Interacting Simulated Annealing



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへの

(*)
$$\sum_{1 \le i \le N} \frac{1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^i)}{\sum_{1 \le j \le N} 1_{A_1}(X_{n_0}^j)} \delta_{X_{n_0}^i} \sim \mu_{A_1}$$

Local approximations

Unbias estimate

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in A_n \mid X \in A_0) = \mathbb{P}(X \in A_n \mid X \in A_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{P}(X \in A_{n-1} \mid X \in A_0)$$

$$= \prod_{0 \le p < n} \mathbb{P}(X \in A_{p+1} \mid X \in A_p)$$

$$\simeq \prod_{0 \le p \le n} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le j \le N} \mathbb{1}_{A_{p+1}}(X_{n_0 + \dots + n_p}^j)$$



$$q = (q_i)_{1 \le i \le k} = \text{k atomic particles} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
$$m = (m_i)_{1 \le i \le k} = \text{k masses} \in \mathbb{R}_+$$
$$p = (p_i)_{1 \le i \le k} = \text{k velocities} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$





$$\begin{array}{lll} q &=& (q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ atomic particles} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ m &=& (m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ masses} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ p &=& (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ velocities} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{array}$$

Hamiltonian energy functional x = (q, p)=phase vector

$$H(q, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \underbrace{\frac{\|p_i\|^2}{2m_i}}_{\text{kinetic energy}} + \underbrace{V(q_1, \dots, q_k)}_{\text{interparticle potential}}$$



$$\begin{array}{lll} q &=& (q_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ atomic particles} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \\ m &=& (m_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ masses} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ p &=& (p_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k} = \mathrm{k} \text{ velocities} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \end{array}$$

Hamiltonian energy functional x = (q, p)=phase vector

$$H(q,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \underbrace{\frac{\|p_i\|^2}{2m_i}}_{\text{kinetic energy}} + \underbrace{V(q_1,\ldots,q_k)}_{\text{interparticle potential}}$$

Example: Lennard Jones potential

$$V(q_1,\ldots,q_k)=\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq k}V_{LJ}(\|q_j-q_i\|)$$

with weak van de Waals bonds energies

$$V_{LJ}(r) = 4\epsilon \left[\left(\frac{\tau}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\tau}{r}\right)^6 \right]$$





Dynamical gradient flow equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{p_i}{m_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p) \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) \end{cases}$$





Dynamical gradient flow equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{p_i}{m_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p) \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) \end{cases}$$

Conservation properties

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(q,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q,p)\frac{dq_i}{dt} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q,p)\frac{dp_i}{dt}\right] = 0$$



Dynamical gradient flow equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{p_i}{m_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p) \\ \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) \end{cases}$$

Conservation properties

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(q,p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q,p)\frac{dq_i}{dt} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q,p)\frac{dp_i}{dt}\right] = 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Time discretizations: Beeman, Leapfrog and Verlet schemes

Boltzmann-Gibbs measures

$$H(x) = H(q, p) \rightsquigarrow \mu_{\beta}(dx) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-\beta H(x)} dx \text{ with } \beta = \frac{1}{\text{temperature}}$$

Boltzmann-Gibbs measures

$$H(x) = H(q, p) \rightsquigarrow \mu_{\beta}(dx) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-\beta H(x)} dx \text{ with } \beta = \frac{1}{\text{temperature}}$$

=Invariant measures of the Langevin stochastic gradient process

$$\begin{cases} dq_i = \beta \underbrace{\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)}_{=\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q, p) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)} dt \\ dp_i = -\beta \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)\right]}_{=\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q) + \sigma^2 p_i/m_i} dt + \sigma \sqrt{2} \underbrace{\frac{\partial W_t^i}{\partial q_i}}_{\text{iid Brownian}} \end{cases}$$

Boltzmann-Gibbs measures

$$H(x) = H(q, p) \rightsquigarrow \mu_{\beta}(dx) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-\beta H(x)} dx \text{ with } \beta = \frac{1}{\text{temperature}}$$

=Invariant measures of the Langevin stochastic gradient process

$$\begin{cases} dq_i = \beta \underbrace{\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)}_{\substack{p_i \neq q_i}} dt \\ dp_i = -\beta \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)\right]}_{\substack{q \neq q_i \neq q_i \neq q_i}} dt + \sigma \sqrt{2} \underbrace{dW_t^i}_{\substack{t \neq q_i \neq q_i \neq q_i \neq q_i}}_{\substack{iid Brownian}} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

(1 trillion simulation steps (\sim O(year)) for 1millisecond...)

23/33

Boltzmann-Gibbs measures

$$H(x) = H(q, p) \rightsquigarrow \mu_{\beta}(dx) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}} e^{-\beta H(x)} dx \text{ with } \beta = \frac{1}{\text{temperature}}$$

=Invariant measures of the Langevin stochastic gradient process

$$\begin{cases} dq_i = \beta \underbrace{\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)}_{=\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q, p) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)} dt \\ dp_i = -\beta \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}(q, p) + \sigma^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}(q, p)\right]}_{=\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i}(q) + \sigma^2 p_i/m_i} dt + \sigma \sqrt{2} \underbrace{dW_t^i}_{\text{id Brownian}} \end{cases}$$

(1 trillion simulation steps (\sim O(year)) for 1millisecond...) Introduction to MD (a.k.a. the SPC water model). Supercritical water by MDSimulator (YouTube). Oil and water separation

Schrödinger equation \simeq Quantum type Newton law (De Broglie 1924)

["Physics reasoning"]

Wave function of a massive particle with:

• Velocity/momentum $p = k\hbar$

• Energy
$$E_c = p^2/(2m) = \hbar\omega \Rightarrow$$
 frequency $\omega = E_c/\hbar$

is given by

$$\psi(t,x) = \psi_0 \ e^{i(kx-\omega t)} \stackrel{\text{\tiny BID}}{\Longrightarrow} i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = E_c \ \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x}$$



3

Schrödinger equation \simeq Quantum type Newton law (De Broglie 1924)

["Physics reasoning"]

Wave function of a massive particle with:

• Velocity/momentum $p = k\hbar$

• Energy
$$E_c = p^2/(2m) = \hbar \omega \Rightarrow$$
 frequency $\omega = E_c/\hbar$

is given by

$$\psi(t,x) = \psi_0 \ e^{i(kx-\omega t)} \stackrel{\text{III}}{\Longrightarrow} i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = E_c \ \psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x}$$

In a potential energy

$$E = E_c + V(x) \Rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = E_c \psi + V \psi = \underbrace{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x} + V \psi}_{-L^V(\psi)}$$



The wave function is the result of two traveling waves in the x and t directions.

Schrödinger eq. \simeq Quantum version of Newton law

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = E_c\psi + V\psi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x} + V\psi}{-L^V(\psi)}$$

$$\Downarrow \quad u(\tau, x) = \psi(-i\tau\hbar, x)$$

Feynman-Kac model/Heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = L^{V}(u) := \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x} - V u$$
Feynman-Kac/Heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = L^{V}(u) := \underbrace{\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}}_{:=L^{0}(u)} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x} - Vu$$

Solution s.t. $u(0,x) = f(x) \rightsquigarrow$ **Feynman-Kac model**

$$u(\tau, x) = Q_{\tau}(f)(x)$$

:= $\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) e^{-\int_0^{\tau} V(X_s)ds} \mid X_0 = x\right)$

with the diffusion:

$$dX_s = (\hbar/\sqrt{m}) \underbrace{dW_s}_{\text{Brownian}}$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで



Spectral decomposition of L^V

Reversibility

$$\int g(x) L^{V}(f)(x) dx = \int g(x) \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x} dx - \int g(x) V(x) f(x) dx$$
$$= \int f(x) \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x} dx - \int f(x) V(x) g(x) dx$$
$$= \int f(x) L^{V}(g)(x) dx := \langle f, L^{V}(g) \rangle$$
$$\Downarrow$$

Spectral decomposition on $\mathbb{L}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \exists E_i \uparrow \in [0, \infty[$ and $\exists \psi_i$ orthonormal eigenfunctions s.t.

$$\mathcal{Q}_t(f) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \; e^{-t \mathcal{E}_i} \; \langle arphi_i, f
angle \; arphi_i$$

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 ▼ のへの

Spectral decomposition of L^V

$$Q_t(f) = \sum_{i\geq 0} e^{-tE_i} \varphi_i \langle \varphi_i, f
angle$$

Consequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dQ_t(f)}{dt} &= -\sum_{i\geq 0} E_i \ e^{-tE_i} \ \langle \varphi_i, f \rangle \ \varphi_i \\ &= \sum_{i\geq 0} e^{-tE_i} \ \langle \varphi_i, f \rangle \ L^V(\varphi_i) \Rightarrow L^V(\varphi_i) = -E_i \ \varphi_i \end{aligned}$$

and for the "top" eigenvalue and its eigenvector φ_0 (ground state)

$$-\frac{1}{t}\log Q_t(1) \longrightarrow_{t\uparrow\infty} E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Q_t(f)}{Q_t(1)} \simeq_{t\uparrow\infty} \frac{\langle f, \varphi_0 \rangle}{\langle 1, \varphi_0 \rangle}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国 ● のへで

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$
$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ モ ト ・ モ ト

э

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_0^{\tau} V(X_s)ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_n}) \prod_{0 \leq t_k < t_n} e^{-V(X_{t_k})(t_k-t_{k-1})}\right)$$



N interacting walkers/replica evolving in two steps (toy model)

$$(X^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{V\text{-reconfiguration}} (\widehat{X}^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{X\text{-exploration}} (X^{i}_{t_{k+1}})_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_0^{\tau} V(X_s)ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_n}) \prod_{0 \leq t_k < t_n} e^{-V(X_{t_k})(t_k - t_{k-1})}\right)$$



N interacting walkers/replica evolving in two steps (toy model)

$$(X^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{V\text{-reconfiguration}} (\widehat{X}^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{X\text{-exploration}} (X^{i}_{t_{k+1}})_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$

Reconfigurations (selected of low energies)

$$(\widehat{X}_{t_k}^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$
 iid $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \frac{e^{-V(X_{t_k}^i)(t_k - t_{k-1})}}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} e^{-V(X_{t_k}^j)(t_k - t_{k-1})}} \delta_{X_{t_k}^i}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_0^{\tau} V(X_s)ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_n}) \prod_{0 \leq t_k < t_n} e^{-V(X_{t_k})(t_k-t_{k-1})}\right)$$



N interacting walkers/replica evolving in two steps (toy model)

$$(X^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{V\text{-reconfiguration}} (\widehat{X}^{i}_{t_{k}})_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{X\text{-exploration}} (X^{i}_{t_{k+1}})_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$

Reconfigurations (selected of low energies)

$$(\widehat{X}_{t_k}^i)_{1 \le i \le N}$$
 iid $\sum_{1 \le j \le N} \frac{e^{-V(X_{t_k}^i)(t_k - t_{k-1})}}{\sum_{1 \le j \le N} e^{-V(X_{t_k}^j)(t_k - t_{k-1})}} \delta_{X_{t_k}^i}$

Explorations

$$X_{t_{k+1}}^{i} := \widehat{X}_{t_{k}}^{i} + (\hbar/\sqrt{m}) \underbrace{(W_{t_{k+1}}^{i} - W_{t_{k}}^{i})}_{i}$$

iid Brownian 🔖 🖘 👘 🔊 ର୍ବ୍

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$
$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \le t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} f(X_{t_{n}}^{i})\right] \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} f(X_{t_{n}}^{i})\right] \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}}_{\sim 1-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} f(X_{t_{n}}^{i})\right] \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}}_{\sim 1-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N}f(X_{t_n}^i)\right] e^{-\sum_{t_k< t_n}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N}V(X_{t_k}^i)(t_k-t_{k-1})}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{\tau}) \ e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} V(X_{s})ds}\right)$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{E}\left(f(X_{t_{n}}) \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} f(X_{t_{n}}^{i})\right] \ \prod_{0 \leq t_{k} < t_{n}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} e^{-V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}}_{\sim 1-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} V(X_{t_{k}}^{i})(t_{k}-t_{k-1})}\right)$$

$$\simeq \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N}f(X_{t_n}^i)\right] e^{-\sum_{t_k< t_n}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N}V(X_{t_k}^i)(t_k-t_{k-1})}$$

~> Log-Lyapunov exponent/top eigenvalue

$$(f=1) \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{t} \log \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\int_0^\tau V(X_s) ds}\right) \simeq E_0 \simeq \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{t_k < t_n} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \le i \le N} V(X_{t_k}^i)(t_k - t_{k-1})$$

and the eigenvector/ground state energy

$$N^{-1}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N}\delta_{X_{t_n}^i}\simeq_{t_n\uparrow\infty}\psi_0(x)dx/\langle 1,\psi_0
angle$$

Population of *N* **individuals**

- Mutation (\sim some given Markov transition M_n)
- Selection w.r.t. some fitness functions $G_n(x)$



・ロト ・ 日下 ・ 日下 ・

Population of *N* **individuals**

- Mutation (\sim some given Markov transition M_n)
- Selection w.r.t. some fitness functions $G_n(x)$

Synthetic picture

$$(X_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{\text{selection}} (\widehat{X}_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \xrightarrow{\text{mutation}} M_1 (X_1^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$$



• • • • • • • • • • •

Population of *N* **individuals**

- Mutation (\sim some given Markov transition M_n)
- Selection w.r.t. some fitness functions $G_n(x)$

Synthetic picture

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} & \stackrel{\text{selection}}{\longrightarrow} & G_0 & (\widehat{X}_0^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} & \stackrel{\text{mutation}}{\longrightarrow} & M_1 & (X_1^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} \\ & \stackrel{\text{selection}}{\longrightarrow} & G_1 & (\widehat{X}_1^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} & \stackrel{\text{mutation}}{\longrightarrow} & M_2 & (X_2^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N} & \dots / \dots \end{array}$$



• • • • • • • • • • •

 $G_n = e^{-\beta_n V}$ & $M_n =$ Simulated annealing move \rightsquigarrow interacting SA (optimization)

More generally:

 $N\uparrow\infty$ computational power $\Rightarrow \widehat{X}_n^i$ almost iid with Feynman-Kac law

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{1\leq i\leq N} f(X_n^i) \propto_{N\uparrow\infty} \mathbb{E}(f(X_n) \prod_{0\leq p< n} G_p(X_p))$$

Somme illustrations - Artificial Intelligence

- Painting Mona Lisa
- Darwin Genetic programming
- GA robot controller
- Learning how to walk
- GA vs Tetris
- Evolutionary computation (Danubia 2011)